

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,858	04/28/2006	Shlomo Ruschin	27/281	4874	
Mark Friedma	7590 06/16/200 n	EXAMINER			
Bill Polkingho	orn	BOLDA, ERIC L			
9003 Florin W Upper Malbor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
-11	-,		3663		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,858	RUSCHIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIC BOLDA	3663		
	10/577,858 Examiner	10/577,858 RUSCHIN ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	ERIC BOLDA	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of firm may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official entry reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (OTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (102-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27.28.30-32.34.35.42.43.45-47.49 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 33 and 48.	<u>50</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Eric Bolda/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3663	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amended claims 27 and 42 are rejected for the reasons that former claims 29 and 36 were rejected in the Final rejection of Jan. 24, 2008. Applicant argues that Yegnanarayanan et al. do not teach that doping of silicon with gold serves any purpose in achieving optical amplification. However, it will still be obvious to try the known composition of gold-doped silicon semicondoutor used in the optical device of Yegnanarayanan. Applicant has further raised the issue of the dopant being isoelectronic with atoms of the indirect gap semiconductor in Leheny. However, the Leheny reference was cited to show the other elements of the optical amplifier; i. e. pumping source.